Journal of Mathematics and Applications

JMA No 45, pp 87-105 (2022)

Two Classes of Infinitely Many Solutions for Fractional Schrödinger-Maxwell System With Concave-Convex Power Nonlinearities

Mustapha Khiddi

ABSTRACT: Employing critical theory and concentration estimates, we establish the existence of two classes of infinitely many weak solutions fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system involving critical Sobolev growth. The first classe of solutions with negative energy is found by using of notion genus while the second one contains infinitely many weak solutions with positive energy via Fountain theorem.

AMS Subject Classification: 35J60, 35Q55, 35R11. Keywords and Phrases: Fractional system; Critical exponents; Fountain theorem; Genus; Concentration-Compactness.

1. Introduction

In this paper we focus our attention on the following critical fractional system

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + u + \phi u = \lambda a(x)|u|^{r-2}u + b(x)|u|^{2^*_s - 2}u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (-\Delta)^t \phi = u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $s \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1), t \in (0, 1)$ with $4s + 2t > 3, 1 < r < 2 < 2_s^* := \frac{6}{3-2s}, \lambda$ is a positive parameter, $a(x), b(x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

The system (1) is made up of a fractional Schrödinger equation coupled to a fractional poisson equation. It is well known that the system (1) has a strong physical

COPYRIGHT © by Publishing House of Rzeszów University of Technology P.O. Box 85, 35-959 Rzeszów, Poland

significance, because it appears in many quantum mechanics modules (see for example [5, 14]) and in semiconductor theory [3], and so on. In recent years, there has been an increasing attention to this type of system on the existence and the multiplicity of positive solutions, see the following references [2, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 21]. To our knowledge, there are few recent articles dealing with the result of the existence of two classes of solutions of infinite types and different signs of energies. By using the truncation tip at the level of the functional to make it bounded from below and satisfied the condition of $(P.S)_c$ for any c < 0. Following the Ljusternick-Schnirelmann theory, we obtain a negative class with infinitely solutions. Via the Fountain Theorem, we obtain the second class of infinitely positive solutions.

- $\begin{array}{rrrr} (A_1) \ \ {\rm Let} \ 1 \ < \ r \ < \ 2 \ < \ 2^*_s, \ \sigma \ = \ \frac{2^*_s}{2^*_s r} \ \ {\rm and} \ \ 2^*_s \ = \ \frac{6}{3 2s}, \ a(x) \ \in \ \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \ L^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ b(x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \ L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3). \end{array}$
- $(A_2) \ a(x) > 0$ in some open bounded subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^3 with strictly positive Lebesgue measure,
- (G_1) Let G be a subgroup of O_3 , $\#G = \infty$, a(x), b(x) are G-invariant,
- $(G_2) \ a(x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^{\sigma}_G(\mathbb{R}^3), \ b(x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^+), \ b(x) = b(|x|) \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ and } b(0) = b(\infty) = 0.$

Our first main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1.

If (A_1) and (A_2) are satisfied. Then there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that, for each $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, the problem (1) has infinitely many solutions with negative energy.

Our next goal is the following:

Theorem 1.2.

If (G_1) and (G_2) are satisfied. Then for all $\lambda > 0$ the problem (1) has infinitely many solutions with positive energy.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminaries results and we give the interval parameter λ for which the energy functional is compact. In Section 3, when λ is small enough, we prove the first Theorem 1.1 by application of genus. In Section 4, we give the proof of the second Theorem 1.2 without condition under the parameter $\lambda > 0$, we establish this result via Fountain theorem.

2. Functional framework and preliminary

For any $s \in (0,1)$, we define the homogeneous fractional Sobolev space $\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as follows

$$\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) = \left\{ u \in L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^3) : \ |\xi|^s \hat{u}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\},\$$

which is the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ under the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi\right)^{1/2}$$

The fractional Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ can be described by means of the Fourier transform, i.e.

$$H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) = \left\{ u \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^{2} + |\hat{u}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi < +\infty \right\},$$

which is a Hilbert space under the norm. In this case, the inner product and the norm are defined as

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{2s} \hat{u}(\xi) \overline{\hat{v}(\xi)} + \hat{u}(\xi) \overline{\hat{v}(\xi)} d\xi,$$
$$\|u\|_{H^s} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 + |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi\right)^{1/2}$$

From Plancherel's theorem we have $||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = ||\hat{u}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ and $|||\xi|^s |\hat{u}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = ||(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$. Hence

$$||u||_{H^s} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u(x)|^2 + |u(x)|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} \quad \forall u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

In our context, the Sobolev constant is given by

$$\mathbb{S} := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u(x)|^2 + |u(x)|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{2^*_s} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*_s}}}.$$
(2)

From the embedding results, we know that $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is continuously and compactly embedded in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ when $1 \leq p < 2_s^*$, where $2_s^* = \frac{6}{3-2s}$ and the embedding is continuous but not compact if $p = 2_s^*$. For more general facts about the fractional Laplacian we refer the reader to the paper [7].

From [20], the author has proved that if $4s + 2t \ge 3$, for each $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the Lax-Milgram theorem implies that there exists a unique $\phi_u^t \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\Delta)^{\frac{t}{2}} \phi_u^t (-\Delta)^{\frac{t}{2}} v dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2 v dx$$

 $\forall v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, that is ϕ_u^t is a weak solution of

$$(-\Delta)^t \phi^t_u = u^2, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

and the representation formula holds

$$\phi_u^t(x) = c_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u^2(y)}{|x-y|^{3-2t}} dy, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ c_t = \pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-2t} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3-2t}{2})}{\Gamma(t)},$$

which is called t-Riesz potential.

The properties of the function ϕ_u^t are given in the following lemma (see [[20], Lemma 2.3]).

Lemma 2.1. If $4s + 2t \ge 3$, then for any $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

- (i) $\phi_u^t \ge 0;$
- (ii) $\phi_u^t: H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \to \mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, is continuous and maps bounded sets into bounded sets;
- (iii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u^t u^2 dx \le S_s^2 \|u\|_{\frac{12}{2+2t}}^2 \le C \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}^4;$
- (iv) If $u_n \to u$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then $\phi_{u_n}^t \to \phi_u^t$ in $\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u^t u^2 dx$.

Substituting ϕ_u^t in (1), it reduces as follows

$$(-\Delta)^{s}u + u + \phi_{u}^{t}u = \lambda a(x)|u|^{r-2}u + b(x)|u|^{2^{*}_{s}-2}u$$
 in \mathbb{R}^{3} ,

To find solutions of (1), we will use a variational approach. Hence, we will associate a suitable functional to our problem. More precisely, the Euler-Lagrange functional related to problem (1) is given by $I_{\lambda} : H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as follows

$$I_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H^{s}}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u}^{t} u^{2} dx - \frac{\lambda}{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} a(x) |u|^{r} dx - \frac{1}{2_{s}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} b(x) |u|^{2_{s}^{*}} dx.$$

Obviously, $I_{\lambda} \in C^1(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathbb{R})$ and its critical points are weak solutions to (1). We call $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a weak solution of (1) if

$$\langle I'_{\lambda}(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} uv dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^t_u uv dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^{r-2} uv dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^{2^*_s - 2} uv dx = 0,$$

for any $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Defined $N: H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $N(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u^t u^2 dx$. The following lemma shows that the functional and possesses property which is similar to the well-known Brezis-Lieb lemma [4].

Lemma 2.2. Assume that 4s + 2t > 3. Let $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then

- (i) $N(u_n u) = N(u_n) N(u) + o_n(1);$
- (ii) $N'(u_n u) = N'(u_n) N'(u) + o_n(1); in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3).$

Proof. We can consult for example ([[20], Lemma 2.4]).

Along the way one can easily the following lemma

4

Lemma 2.3. Under the same conditions as the Lemma 2.2. Let $v_n = u_n - u \rightharpoonup 0$. Then

$$\begin{cases} I_{\lambda}(v_n) \to c - I_{\lambda}(u), \\ I'_{\lambda}(v_n) \to 0. \end{cases}$$
(3)

We recall that

Definition 1. Let X be a Banach space

- (i) For $c \in \mathbb{R}$, a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a $(PS)_c$ for I_{λ} if $I_{\lambda}(u_n) = c + o(1)$ and $I_{\lambda}^{'}(u_n) = o(1)$ strongly in $H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as $n \to +\infty$.
- (ii) I_{λ} satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition in X if any $(PS)_c$ sequence for I_{λ} contains a convergent subsequence.

Let us show firstly the $(PS)_c$ sequence is bounded.

Lemma 2.4. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\{u_n\}$ is $(PS)_c$ -sequence for I_{λ} , then $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3).$

Proof. We have

$$I_{\lambda}(u_n) = c + o(1) \text{ and } I'_{\lambda}(u_n) = o(1) \text{ in } H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3),$$
 (4)

By contradiction, we assume that $||u_n||_{H^s} \to +\infty$. Let $\widehat{u}_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_{H^s}}$. Clearly, $\|\widehat{u}_n\|_{H^s} = 1$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\widehat{u}_n \rightharpoonup \widehat{u}$$
 in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

This implies

$$\widehat{u}_n \to \widehat{u}$$
 in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $1 \le r < 2_s^*$.

By (4), we have

$$c + o(1) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|_{H^s}^2 \|\widehat{u}_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|_{H^s}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\widehat{u}_n}^t \widehat{u}_n^2 dx - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \|u_n\|_{H^s}^{2_s^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |\widehat{u}_n|^{2_s^*} dx - \frac{\lambda}{r} \|u_n\|_{H^s}^r \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |\widehat{u}_n|^r dx, \text{ as } n \to +\infty,$$

and

$$o(1) = \|u_n\|_{H^s}^2 \|\widehat{u}_n\|^2 + \|u_n\|_{H^s}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\widehat{u}_n}^t \widehat{u}_n^2 dx - \|u_n\|_{H^s}^{2^*_s} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |\widehat{u}_n|^{2^*_s} dx - \lambda \|u_n\|_{H^s}^r \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |\widehat{u}_n|^r dx, \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

By using the above two equalities, we have

$$o(1) = \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \|\widehat{u}_n\|_{H^s}^2 + \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{4}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\widehat{u}_n}^t \widehat{u}_n^2 dx \\ + \left(\frac{1}{2_s^*} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|u_n\|_{H^s}^{2_s^* - 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |\widehat{u}_n|^{2_s^*} dx,$$

as $n \to +\infty$, that is

$$\begin{split} &(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2})\|\widehat{u}_n\|_{H^s}^2 + (\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{4})\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{\widehat{u}_n}^t\widehat{u}_n^2dx\\ &= \left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2_s^*}\right)\|u_n\|_{H^s}^{2_s^*-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}b(x)|\widehat{u}_n|^{2_s^*}dx + o(1), \end{split}$$

as $n \to +\infty$. This implies,

$$(1 \quad 1)$$

$$(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2})\|\widehat{u}_n\|_{H^s}^2 + (\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{4})\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\widehat{u}_n}^t \widehat{u}_n^2 dx \to +\infty,$$

as $n \to +\infty$. By Lemma 2.1 (iii), there C > 0 and $\|\hat{u}_n\|_{H^s} = 1$ we have

$$\begin{split} +\infty \leftarrow (\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2}) \|\widehat{u}_n\|_{H^s}^2 + (\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{4}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\widehat{u}_n}^t \widehat{u}_n^2 dx \\ &\leq (\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2}) \|\widehat{u}_n\|_{H^s}^2 + C \|\widehat{u}_n\|^4 = (\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2}) + C, \ asn \to +\infty, \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Lemma 2.5. There exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for every $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ the functional I_{λ} satisfies $(PS)_c$ for all c < 0.

Proof. Consider a $(PS)_c$ sequence $\{u_n\}$ for I_{λ} with c < 0. From Lemma 2.4 $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Going if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume that

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u, \text{ in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ u_n \rightarrow u, \text{ in } L^r(\mathbb{R}^3), \ 1 \le r < 2_s^*. \end{cases}$$
(5)

By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\langle I'_{\lambda}(u), \varphi \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3).$$
 (6)

With (4) and $\sigma = \frac{2^*_s}{2^*_s - r}$ and the Hölder Inequality we get

$$\begin{split} I_{\lambda}(u_n) &- \frac{1}{2_s^*} \langle I'_{\lambda}(u_n), u_n \rangle = c + o(1) \|u_n\|_{H^s} \to c < 0\\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right) \|u_n\|_{H^s}^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 dx + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2_s^*} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u_n|^r dx\\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right) \mathbb{S} |u_n|_{2_s^*}^2 - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right) |a|_{\sigma}^{\sigma} |u_n|_{2_s^*}^r. \end{split}$$

Then, there exists some constant C > 0 such that

$$|u_n|_{2^*_s} \le C\lambda^{\frac{1}{2-r}},\tag{7}$$

and Brezis-Lieb Lemma [4] implies

$$|u|_{2_s^*} \le C\lambda^{\frac{1}{2-r}}.\tag{8}$$

By (6), note that

$$||u||_{H^s}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u^t u^2 dx = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^r dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^{2^*_s} dx,$$
(9)

also, using Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.1 (iv) and (4) we have

$$\|v_n\|_{H^s}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |v_n|^{2^*_s} dx + o(1).$$
(10)

Now, we suppose that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \|v_n\|_{H^s}^2 = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |v_n|^{2^*_s} dx = l \neq 0.$$

By Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\|v_n\|_{H^s}^2 \ge \mathbb{S}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^{2^*_s} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*_s}}$$
$$\ge \mathbb{S}b_{\infty}^{-\frac{(3-2s)}{3}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |v_n|^{2^*_s} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*_s}},$$

which implies that

$$l \ge \mathbb{S}^{\frac{3}{2s}} b_{\infty}^{\frac{2s-3}{2s}}.$$
 (11)

Let $1 \leq r < 2 < 2^*_s.$ By Lemmas 2.3, 2.1 (iv), (7), (9),(10), (11) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} o(1) + c &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u^t u^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^r dx - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^{2_s^*} dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \|v_n\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |v_n|^{2_s^*} dx + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right) \|v_n\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u^t u^2 dx\right) \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^r dx - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^{2_s^*} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right) \|v_n\|_{H^s}^2 + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^r dx \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^{2_s^*} dx \\ &\geq \frac{2s}{3} \mathbb{S}^{\frac{3}{2s}} b_{\infty}^{\frac{2s-3}{2s}} + \lambda \left(\frac{r-4}{4r}\right) |a|_{\sigma}^{\sigma} |u|_{\sigma}^{r_s}. \end{split}$$

Then there exists K > 0 such that

$$0 > c \ge \frac{2s}{3} \mathbb{S}^{\frac{3}{2s}} b_{\infty}^{\frac{2s-3}{2s}} - K\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-r}},$$

which is a contradiction for λ small enough. Then, l = 0, that is, $u_n \to u$ strongly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

3. Proof of the first Theorem 1.1

First by the Sobolev inequality we obtain

$$I_{\lambda}(u) \ge h(\|u\|_{H^s}),\tag{12}$$

where

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{b_{\infty}}{2_*^* \mathbb{S}^{\frac{2^*}{2}}} x^{2^*_s} - \frac{\lambda}{r} C_r x^r.$$

An easy computation shows that, there exists $\lambda_* > 0$ such that for all $0 < \lambda < \lambda_*$, the real valued function $x \mapsto h(x)$ has exactly two positive zeros denoted by R_0 , R_1 and the point R is where h attains its nonnegative maximum, verifies $R_0 < R < R_1$. We now introduce the following truncation of the functional I_{λ} . Take the nonincreasing function $\tau : \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0, 1]$ and $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \tau(x) = 1 & \text{if } x < R_0, \\ \tau(x) = 0 & \text{if } x > R_1 \end{cases}$$
(13)

Let $\varphi(u) = \tau(||u||_{H^s})$. We consider the truncated functional

$$\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u^t u^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^r dx - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^{2_s^*} \varphi(u) dx.$$
(14)

Similar to 12, we have

$$\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u) \ge \overline{h}(\|u\|_{H^s}) \tag{15}$$

where

$$\overline{h}(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{b_{\infty}}{2_s^* \mathbb{S}^{\frac{2_s}{2}}} x^{2_s^*} \tau(x) - \frac{\lambda}{r} C_r x^r.$$

Clearly,

$$\overline{h}(x) \ge h(x) \tag{16}$$

for $x \ge 0$ and $\overline{h}(x) = h(x)$ if $0 \le x \le R_0$, $\overline{h}(x) \ge 0$, if $R_0 < x \le R_1$ and if $x > R_1$, $\overline{h}(x) = x^r(\frac{1}{2}x^{2-r} - \frac{\lambda}{r}C_r)$ is strictly increasing and so $\overline{h}(x) > 0$, if $x > R_1$. Consequently

$$\overline{h}(x) \ge 0 \text{ for } x \ge R_0. \tag{17}$$

We have the following result.

Lemma 3.1. This lemma can be expressed as three assertions:

- 1. $\tilde{I}_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{C}^1(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathbb{R})$, is even.
- 2. If $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u_0) \leq 0$ then $||u_0||_{H^s} < R_0$. Moreover, $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u) = I_{\lambda}(u)$ for all u in a small enough neighborhood of u_0 .
- 3. There exists $\lambda_0 > 0$, such that if $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, then \tilde{I}_{λ} verifies a local Palais-Smale condition for c < 0.

Proof. Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi(u) = 1$ for u near $0, \tilde{I}_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{C}^1(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathbb{R})$ and assertion **1** holds.

Note that $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u_0) \ge I_{\lambda}(u_0)$. By taking $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u_0) \le 0$, we can deduce from 15 that

$$\overline{h}(\|u_0\|_{H^s}) \le 0.$$

Then By (16) and (17) we have

$$\|u_0\|_{H^s} < R_0. \tag{18}$$

For the proof of (3), let $\{u_n\} \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence \tilde{I}_{λ} , with c < 0. Then we may assume that $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u_n) < 0$, $\tilde{I}'_{\lambda}(u_n) \to 0$. By (2) and for $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, $||u_n||_{H^s} < R_0$, so $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u_n) = I_{\lambda}(u_n)$ and $\tilde{I}'_{\lambda}(u_n) = I'_{\lambda}(u_n)$. By Lemma 2.5, I_{λ} satisfies $(PS)_c$ condition for c < 0, so there is a subsequence $\{u_n\}$ such that $u_n \to u$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus \tilde{I}_{λ} satisfies $(PS)_c$ condition for c < 0.

We first recall some concepts and results in minimax theory. Let X be a Banach space, and \sum denote all closed subsets of $X - \{0\}$ which are symmetric with respect to the origin. For $A \in \sum$, we define the genus $\gamma(A)$ by

$$\gamma(A) = \min\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \phi \in C(A; \mathbb{R}^k - \{0\}), \phi(-x) = \phi(x)\right\},\$$

if the minimum exists, and if such a minimum does not exist then we define $\gamma(A) = \infty$. The main properties of genus are contained in the following lemma (see[9] for the details).

Lemma 3.2. Let $A, B \in \sum$. Then

- 1. If $A \subset B$, then $\gamma(A) \leq \gamma(B)$.
- 2. If there exists an odd homeomorphism between A and B, then $\gamma(A) = \gamma(B)$.
- 3. If S^{N-1} is the sphere in \mathbb{R}^N , then $\gamma(S^{N-1}) = N$.
- 4. $\gamma(A \cup B) \le \gamma(A) + \gamma(B)$.

5. If
$$\gamma(A) < \infty$$
, then $\gamma(\overline{A-B}) \ge \gamma(A) - \gamma(B)$.

- 6. If A is compact, then $\gamma(A) < \infty$, and there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\gamma(A) = \gamma(N_{\delta}(A))$ where $N_{\delta}(A) = \{x \in X : d(x, A) \le \delta\}.$
- 7. If X_0 is a subspace of X with codimension k, and $\gamma(A) > k$, then $A \cap X_0 \neq \emptyset$.

It is possible to prove the existence of level sets of \tilde{I}_{λ} with arbitrarily large genus, more precisely:

Lemma 3.3. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists \epsilon(n) > 0$ such that

$$\gamma(\{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) : I_\lambda(u) \le -\epsilon(n)\}) \ge n.$$

Proof. Let Ω is an open bounded subset with strictly positive Lebesgue measure such that a(x) > 0 in Ω . Let $X_0^s(\Omega)$ be the function space defined as

$$X_0^s(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) : u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \Omega \right\}.$$

So, $X_0^s(\Omega) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Observe that by [[7], Proposition 3.6] we have the following identity

$$\|u\|_{X_0^s(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{s/2} u(x)|^2 + |u(x)|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} = \|u_n\|_{H^s}$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider E_n be a *n*-dimensional subspace of $X_0^s(\Omega)$. Let $u_n \in E_n$ with norm $||u_n||_{H^s} = 1$. By (A_2) there exists a $c_n > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_n|^r dx \ge c_n > 0$$

For $0 < \rho < R_0$ and using Lemma 2.1 (iii), we get

$$\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(\rho u_n) \le \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 + \frac{1}{4}C\rho^4 - \rho^{2^*_s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u_n|^{2^*_s} dx - \frac{\lambda}{r}\rho^r \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u_n|^r dx.$$
(19)

Since E_n is a finite-dimensional space, all the norms in E_n are equivalent. Thus we can define

$$\alpha_n := \inf\{\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u_n|^r dx : u_n \in E_n, \|u_n\|_{H^s} = 1\} \ge c_n > 0,$$

$$\beta_n := \inf\{\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u_n|^{2^*_s} dx : u_n \in E_n, \|u_n\|_{H^s} = 1\} > 0.$$

By using the definitions of α_n , β_n and inequality 19, we obtain

$$\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(\rho u_n) \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 + \frac{1}{4}C\rho^4 - \rho^{2^*_s}\beta_n - \frac{\lambda}{r}\rho^r\alpha_n.$$

Then, there exists $\epsilon(n) > 0$ and $0 < \rho < R_0$ such that

$$I_{\lambda}(\rho u) \le -\epsilon(n)$$

for $u \in E_n$ and $||u_n||_{H^s} = 1$. Let $S_\eta = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) / ||u||_{H^s} = \eta\}$, so

$$S_{\eta} \cap E_n \subset \{ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) / \tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u) \leq -\epsilon(n) \},\$$

therefore, by Lemma 3.2 we see that

$$\gamma(\{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) / \tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u) \le -\epsilon\}) \ge \gamma(S_\eta \cap E_n) \ge n.$$

We are now in a position to prove the first result. Proof of the Theorem 1.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\Gamma_n = \{ A \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) - \{0\}/A \text{ is close, } A = -A, \gamma(A) \ge n \}.$$

Let us set

$$c_n = \min_{A \in \Gamma_n} \max_{u \in A} \tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u),$$

and

$$K_c = \{ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3 : \tilde{I}'_\lambda(u) = 0, \tilde{I}_\lambda(u) = c \},\$$

and suppose $0 < \lambda < \lambda_*$ where λ_* is the constant given by Lemma 3.1. We claim if $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $c = c_n = c_{n+1} = \cdots + c_{n+r}$, then $\gamma(K_c) \ge r+1$. For simplicity, we call

$$\tilde{I}_{\lambda}^{-\epsilon} = \{ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) / \tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u) \le -\epsilon \}.$$

By lemma 3.3 there exists $\epsilon(n) > 0$ such that $\gamma(\tilde{I}_{\lambda}^{-\epsilon}) \geq n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Because $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u)$ is continuous and even, $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}^{-\epsilon} \in \Gamma_n$, then $c_n \leq -\epsilon(n) < 0$ for all n in \mathbb{N} . But \tilde{I}_{λ} is bounded from below, hence $c_n > -\infty$ for all n in \mathbb{N} .

Let us assume that $c = c_n = c_{n+1} = \dots = c_{n+r}$. Note that c < 0 therefore, \tilde{I}_{λ} verifies the Plais-Smale condition in c, and it is easy to see that K_c is a compact set.

If $\gamma(K_c) \leq r$, there exists a closed and symmetric set U verifying $K_c \subset U$, such that $\gamma(U) \leq r$. By the deformation lemma (see [19]), we have an odd homeomorphism $\eta: H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \to H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, such that $\eta(\tilde{I}^{c+\delta}_{\lambda} - U) \subset \tilde{I}^{c-\delta}_{\lambda}$, for some $\delta > 0$. By definition,

$$c = c_n = \inf_{A \in \Gamma_{n+r}} \sup_{u \in A} \tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u).$$

There exists then $A \in \Gamma_{n+r}$, such that $\sup_{u \in A} \tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u) < c + \delta$. i.e $A \subset \tilde{I}_{\lambda}^{c+\delta}$,

$$\eta(A-U)\subset \eta(\tilde{I}_{\lambda}^{c+\delta}-U)\subset \tilde{I}_{\lambda}^{c-\delta}$$

By Lemma 3.2 (5) again $\gamma(\overline{A-U}) \geq \gamma(A) - \gamma(U) \geq n$, and $\gamma(\eta(\overline{A-U})) \geq n$ $\gamma(\overline{A-U})) \ge n.$

Then, $\eta(\overline{A-U}) \in \Gamma_n$. Impossible, in fact $\eta(\overline{A-U}) \in \Gamma_n$ implies $\sup_{u \in \eta(\overline{A-U})} \tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u) \ge c_n = c$.

So we have proved that $\gamma(K_c) \ge r+1$. We are now ready to show that I_{λ} has infinitely many critical point solutions. Note that c_n is non-decreasing and strictly negative. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1 Suppose that there are $1 < n_1 < \cdots , n_i < \cdots$, satisfying

$$c_{n_1} < \cdots < c_{n_i} < \cdots$$

In this case, we have infinitely many distinct critical points. **Case 2** We assume in this case, that for some positive integer n_0 , there is a $r \ge 1$ such that $c = c_{n_0} = c_{n_0+1} = \cdots = c_{n_0+r}$, then $\gamma(K_{c_{n_0}}) \ge r+1$ which shows that $K_{c_{n_0}}$ contains infinitely many distinct elements. Since $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u) = I_{\lambda}(u)$ if $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u) < 0$, we see that there are infinitely many critical points of $I_{\lambda}(u)$. The theorem 1.1 is proved.

4. Proof of the second Theorem 1.2

In this section, we show the existence of infinitely many solutions via the Fountain Theorem [22].

We consider

$$H^{s}_{G}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) := \{ u \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) : u(\tau x) = u(x), \tau \in G \},\$$

where G is a subgroup of the group of orthogonal linear transformations O_3 . Let us consider the functional $I_{\lambda,G}$: $H^s_G(\mathbb{R}^3) \to \mathbb{R}$ as $I_{\lambda,G} = I_{\lambda}|_{H^s_G(\mathbb{R}^3)}$. By the principle of symmetric criticality of Krawcewicz-Marzantowicz [13], we know that u is a critical point of I_{λ} if and only if u is a critical point of $I_{\lambda,G} = I_{\lambda}|_{H^s_G(\mathbb{R}^3)}$.

Lemma 4.1. For any $\lambda > 0$, $s \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ such that 4s + 2t > 3, the functional $I_{\lambda,G}$ satisfies $(PS)_c$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ in $H^s_G(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $I_{\lambda,G}(u_n) \to c$ and $I'_{\lambda,G}(u_n) \to 0$ strongly in $H^{-s}_G(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Following the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 we have $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. Therefore, up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\begin{cases} u_n \to u, \text{ in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^3);\\ u_n \to u, \text{ in } L^r(\mathbb{R}^3), \ 1 \le r < 2_s^*;\\ u_n(x) \to u(x), \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$
(20)

From the concentration-compactness alternative for bounded sequences in the fractional space $H^s_G(\mathbb{R}^3)$, see [[18], Theorem 2.2]: There exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_n\}$, at most countable set Λ , a set of points $\{x_j\}_{j\in\Lambda} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and real numbers $\mu_j, \nu_j \in [0, \infty)$ such that

$$|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u_n|^2 \rightharpoonup d\mu \ge |(-\Delta)^{s/2}u|^2 + \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \mu_j \delta_{x_j}, \ \mu_j = \mu(x_j),$$
(21)

$$|u_n|^{2^*_s} \rightharpoonup d\nu = |u|^{2^*_s} + \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \nu_j \delta_{x_j}, \ \nu_j = \nu(x_j),$$
(22)

$$\mu_j \ge \mathbb{S}\nu_j^{\frac{2}{2s}}.\tag{23}$$

We claim that the concentration of ν cannot occur at any $x \neq 0$. Now we suppose that there exists $x_j \neq 0$, where $j_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $\nu_{j_0} = \nu_{x_{j_0}} > 0$. The measure ν is G-invariant. For all $\tau \in G$, $\nu(x_{j_0}) = \nu(\tau x_{j_0}) > 0$. We know that $\#G = \infty$, thus

$$\nu\left(\{\tau x_{j_0}: \tau \in G\}\right) = \infty.$$

Note that the measure ν is finite, which is a contradiction. Then, for any $x_j \neq 0$ where $j \in \Lambda$, we get $\nu_j = \nu(x_j) = 0$. Now we suppose that $0 \notin \{x_j : j \in \Lambda\}$. In fact, assume $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that for any $0 \notin B_{\varepsilon}(0)$. Let $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a cut-off function centered at 0 satisfying

$$0 \le \varphi_{\varepsilon} \le 1, \ \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \ge \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Since $(\varphi_{\varepsilon}u_n)$ is bounded, $\langle I'_{\lambda,G}(u_n), \varphi_{\varepsilon}u_n \rangle \to 0$, that is

$$\langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (u_n), \varphi_{\varepsilon} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (u_n) \rangle + \langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (u_n), u_n (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (\varphi_{\varepsilon}) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_n^2 \varphi_{\varepsilon} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 \varphi_{\varepsilon} dx = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u_n|^r \varphi_{\varepsilon} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u_n|^{2^*_s} \varphi_{\varepsilon} dx + o(1) \lim_{n \to +\infty} \langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (u_n), \varphi_{\varepsilon} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (u_n) \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_{\varepsilon} d\mu$$
(24)

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u_n|^{2^*_s} \varphi_{\varepsilon} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) \varphi_{\varepsilon} d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^{2^*_s} \varphi_{\varepsilon} dx + b(x_j) \nu_j$$
(25)

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to 0} \left| \langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (u_n), u_n (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (\varphi_{\varepsilon}) \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to 0} \left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_n|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^2 |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \varphi_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right) \\ &\leq C \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \varphi_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon}(0)} |u|^{2^*_s} |dx \right)^{1/2^*_s} \left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon}(0)} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \varphi_{\varepsilon}|^{\frac{3}{s}} dx \right)^{\frac{s}{3}} \\ &\leq C \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon}(0)} |u|^{2^*_s} |dx \right)^{1/2^*_s} = 0, \end{split}$$

$$(26)$$

M.~Khiddi

and

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 \varphi_{\varepsilon} dx &= 0\\ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^{2^*_s} \varphi_{\varepsilon} dx &= 0,\\ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^r \varphi_{\varepsilon} dx &= 0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 \varphi_{\varepsilon} dx &= 0,\\ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u^t u^2 \varphi_{\varepsilon} dx &= 0. \end{split}$$
(27)

Thus,

 $\mu(\{0\}) = b(0)\nu(\{0\}).$

Note that b(0) = 0, then $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$. In the next step, we claim that the concentration of ν cannot occur at infinity.

$$\begin{split} \nu_{\infty} &= \lim_{R \to +\infty} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{|x| > R} |u_n|^{2^*_s} dx, \\ \mu_{\infty} &= \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{x| > R} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_n|^2 dx. \end{split}$$

Hence, by using the concept of the concentration-compactness in ([17],[18]) at infinity, ν_{∞} and μ_{∞} exist and satisfy :

$$\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2^*_s} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\nu + \nu_{\infty}$$
$$\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_n|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mu + \mu_{\infty}.$$
$$\mathbb{S}\nu_{\infty}^{2/2^*_s} \le \mu_{\infty}.$$
(28)

For any R > 0, take a radially symmetric function $\chi_R \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $0 \leq \chi_R \leq 1$, $\chi_R = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{2R}$, $\chi_R = 0$ in B_R . It is easy to obtain that $\chi_R u_n$ is bounded on $H^s_G(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \langle I'_{\lambda,G}(u_n), \chi_R u_n \rangle = 0.$$

We have

$$\langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (u_n), \chi_R (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (u_n) \rangle + \langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (u_n), u_n (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (\chi_R) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_n^2 \chi_R dx \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 \chi_R dx = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u_n|^r \chi_R dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u_n|^{2^*_s} \chi_R dx + o(1)$$

Similar to the proof of (26), we have

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (u_n), u_n (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (\chi_R) \rangle \le C \lim_{R \to +\infty} \left(\int_{R < |x| < 2R} |u|^{2^*_s} dx \right)^{1/2^*_s} = 0.$$

Also,

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u_n|^r \chi_R dx = \lim_{R \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^r \chi_R dx = 0,$$
$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_n^2 \chi_R dx = \lim_{R \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2 \chi_R dx = 0,$$
$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 \chi_R dx = \lim_{R \to +\infty} \int_{|x| > R} \phi_u^t u^2 \chi_R dx = 0.$$

Since $b(\infty) = 0$,

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{|x| > R} b(x) |u_n|^{2^*_s} dx = 0$$

Then,

$$\mu_{\infty} = \lim_{R \to +\infty} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{x|>R} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_n|^2 dx \le \lim_{R \to +\infty} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{|x|>R} b(x) |u_n|^{2^*_s} dx = 0.$$

Thus $\mu_{\infty} = 0$. Then, from (28) we obtain $\nu_{\infty} = 0$. Hence, up to a subsequence, we derive

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2^*_s} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{2^*_s} dx.$$

By Brézis-Leib [4] $u_n \to u$ in $L^{2^*}_G(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Note that $b \in L^\infty_G(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u_n - u|^{2^*_s} dx = 0.$$

Then $u_n \to u$ strongly in $H^s_G(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Since $H^s_G(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is separable (see [1]), there exist $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset H^s_G(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset H^{-s}_G(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} H^s_G(\mathbb{R}^3) &= \overline{\operatorname{span}\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}}, \ H^{-s}_G(\mathbb{R}^3) &= \overline{\operatorname{span}\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}} \\ \langle f_i, e_j \rangle &= \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } i = j, \\ 0 \text{ if } i \neq j, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where \langle,\rangle is the duality pairing between $H_G^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $H_G^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Let
$$X_j = \operatorname{span}\{e_j\}, \ Y_n = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n X_j, \ Z_n = \bigoplus_{j=n}^\infty X_j.$$

Let

Lemma 4.2. ([22] Fountain theorem)

Consider an even functional $I_{\lambda,G} \in \mathcal{C}(H^s_G(\mathbb{R}^3),\mathbb{R})$. If, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $\rho_k > r_k > 0$ such that

- 1. $\alpha_k := \max \{ I_{\lambda,G}(u) : u \in Y_k, \|u\|_{H^s_G} = \rho_k \} \le 0.$
- 2. $\beta_k := \inf \left\{ I_{\lambda,G}(u) : u \in Z_k, \|u\|_{H^s_G} = \rho_k \right\} \to \infty \text{ as } k \to +\infty,$
- 3. $I_{\lambda,G}$ satisfying (PS) condition for every c > 0.

Then $I_{\lambda,G}$ has an unbounded sequence of critical values.

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

The functional $I_{\lambda,G}$ is even, $I_{\lambda,G} \in \mathcal{C}(H^s_G(\mathbb{R}^3),\mathbb{R})$. By Lemma 4.1 $I_{\lambda,G}$ satisfying (PS) condition for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$. We only need to verify $I_{\lambda,G}$ satisfying (1) and (2) of Lemma 4.2. Since X_j is a finite-dimensional subspace of $H^s_G(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and b(x) > 0 a.e. in \mathbb{R}^3 , this implies that there exists a constant $\varepsilon_j > 0$ such that for all $v \in X_j$ with $\|v\|_{H^s_G} = 1$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |v|^{2^*_s} dx \ge \varepsilon_j.$$

On the other hand,

for any $u \in X_j \setminus \{0\}$, with $||u||_{H^s_G} = 1$ and by using the Lemma Sobolev inequality we get

$$\begin{split} I_{\lambda,G}(tu) &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|_{H^s_G}^2 + C\frac{t^4}{2} \|u\|_{H^s_G}^4 - \frac{\lambda t^r}{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^r dx - \frac{t^{2^*_s}}{2^*_s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^{2^*_s} dx \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} + C\frac{t^4}{2} - \frac{t^{2^*_s}}{2^*_s} \varepsilon_j. \end{split}$$

Since $4 < 2_s^*$, there exists $t_j > 1$ such that $e_j = t_j u$ satisfies $I_{\lambda,G}(e_j) \leq 0$. This proves (1) of Lemma 4.2. Define

$$\beta_j = \sup_{u \in Z_j, \|u\|_{H^s_G} = 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^{2^*_s} dx \right)^{1/2^*_s}$$

By the definition of Z_j , we get $u_j \rightarrow 0$ in $H^s_G(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since b(x) is continuous, b(0) = 0, $b(\infty) = 0$ and by the same argument using in Lemma 4.1 we see that a concentration of the measure ν can only occur at 0 and ∞ . We deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u_j|^{2^*_s} dx \to 0,$$

as $j \to \infty$, so

 $\beta_i \to 0.$

For all $u \in Z_j$, we have

$$I_{\lambda,G}(u) \ge \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H^s_G}^2 - \frac{\lambda C}{r} \|u\|_{H^s_G}^r - \frac{\beta_j^{2^*_s}}{2^*_s} \|u\|_{H^s_G}^{2^*_s}.$$

Let $u \in Z_j$, such that $||u||_{H^s_G} = A_j = \left(\frac{1}{\beta_j^{2^*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_s - 2}}$ Since $\beta_j \to 0$ we have $A_j \to +\infty$ as $j \to +\infty$. Since 1 < r < 2 we have

$$I_{\lambda,G}(u) \ge \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right) A_j^2 - \frac{\lambda C}{r} A_j^r \to +\infty, \text{ as } j \to +\infty.$$

So, $I_{\lambda,G}$ satisfies (2). All the assumptions of Lemma 4.2 are satisfied. Therefore, this concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

References

- [1] R. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, Ac. Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, D. Ruiz, Multiple bound states for the Schrödinger-Poisson problem, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics 10 (03) (2008) 391–404.
- [3] V. Benci, D. F. Fortunato, Solitary waves of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation coupled with the Maxwell equations, Reviews in Mathematical Physics 14 (04) (2002) 409–420.
- [4] H. Brézis, E. Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 88 (3) (1983) 486-490.
- [5] I. Catto, P.L. Lions, A ecessary and sufficient condition for the stability of general molecular systems, Communications in Partial Differential Equations 17 (7-8) (1992) 1051–1110.
- [6] T. D'Aprile, D. Mugnai, Non-existence results for the coupled Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equations, Advanced Nonlinear Studies 4 (3) (2004) 307–322.
- [7] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques 136 (5) (2012) 521–573.
- [8] X. He, W. Zou, Existence and concentration of ground states for Schrödinger-Poisson equations with critical growth, Journal of Mathematical Physics 53 (2) (2012) 023702.
- [9] O. Kavian, Introduction à la théorie des points critiques et applications aux problèmes elliptiques, Springer-Verlag, France, Paris, 1993.

- [10] M. Khiddi, S.M. Sbai, Infinitely many solutions for non-local elliptic nondegenerate p-Kirchhoff equations with critical exponent, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations (2019) pages 1–13.
- [11] M. Khiddi, S.M. Sbai, Infinitely many solutions for non-local elliptic nondegenerate p-Kirchhoff equations with critical exponent, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations 65 (3) (2020) 368–380.
- [12] M. Khiddi, Multiple solutions for the fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system with critical Sobolev exponent, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics 52 (2) (2022) 535-545.
- [13] W. Krawcewicz, W. Marzantowicz, Some remarks on the Lusternik-Schnirelman method for non-differentiable functionals invariant with respect to a finite group action, The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics 20 (4) (1990) 1041–1049.
- [14] E.H. Lieb, B. Simon, The Thomas-Fermi theory of atoms, molecules and solids, Advances in Mathematics 23 (1) (1977) 22–116.
- [15] Z. Liu, J. Zhang, Multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for the fractional Schrödinger-Poisson systems with critical growth, ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations 23 (4) (2017) 1515–1542.
- [16] E.G. Murcia, G. Siciliano, et al. Positive semiclassical states for a fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system, Differential and Integral Equations 30 (3/4) (2017) 231–258.
- [17] G. Palatucci, A. Pisante, Improved Sobolev embeddings, profile decomposition, and concentration-compactness for fractional Sobolev spaces, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations 50 (3-4) (2014) 799–829.
- [18] G. Palatucci, A. Pisante, Y. Sire, Subcritical approximation of a Yamabe type non local equation: a gamma-convergence approach, arXiv preprint arXiv:1306.6782, 2013.
- [19] M. Struwe, Variational Methods, volume 31999, Springer, 1990.
- [20] K. Teng, Existence of ground state solutions for the nonlinear fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system with critical Sobolev exponent, Journal of Differential Equations 261 (6) (2016) 3061–3106.
- [21] J. Wang, L. Tian, J. Xu, F. Zhang, Existence of multiple positive solutions for Schrödinger-Poisson systems with critical growth, Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Physik 66 (5) (2015) 2441–2471.
- [22] M. Willem, Minimax theorems: Progress in nonlinear differential equations and applications, 24, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 1996.

DOI: 10.7862/rf.2022.4

Mustapha Khiddi

email: mostapha-2@hotmail.com ORCID: 0000-0001-5749-5807 Département de Mathématique et Informatique Laboratoire de Modélisation et Combinatoire Université Cadi Ayyad B.P. 4162 Safi MOROCCO

Received 13.08.2020

Accepted 18.10.2022