

Convolution properties of subclasses of analytic functions associated with the Dziok-Srivastava operator

*S. P. Goyal, Sanjay Kumar Bansal,
Pranay Goswami, Zhi-Gang Wang*

Submitted by: *Jan Stankiewicz*

ABSTRACT: The aim of this paper is to introduce two new classes of analytic function by using principle of subordination and the Dziok-Srivastava operator. We further investigate convolution properties for these classes. We also find necessary and sufficient condition and coefficient estimate for them.

AMS Subject Classification: *30C45*

Keywords and Phrases: *analytic function; Hadmard product; starlike function; convex function; subordination and Dziok-Srivastava operator.*

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) denote the subclasses of \mathcal{A} that consists, respectively, of starlike of order α and convex of order α in the disk \mathbb{U} . It is well known that $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}^*(0) = \mathcal{S}^*$ and $\mathcal{K}(\alpha) = \mathcal{K}(0) = \mathcal{K}$.

If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$, written $f(z) \prec g(z)$ if there exists a Schwarz function ω , which by definition is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$, such that $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$, for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Furthermore,

if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have the following equivalence :

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \iff f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

For the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) and $g(z)$ given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad (1.2)$$

the Hadamard product or convolution of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z) \quad (1.3)$$

Making use of principle of subordination between analytic functions. We introduce the subclasses $\mathcal{S}^*[\lambda, \phi]$ and $\mathcal{K}[\lambda, \phi]$ of the class \mathcal{A} for $-1 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ which are defined by

$$\mathcal{S}^*[\lambda, \phi] = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{[(1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda zf'(z)]} \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \right\} \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}[\lambda, \phi] = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf''(z) + f'(z)}{[f'(z) + \lambda zf''(z)]} \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \right\} \quad (1.5)$$

For complex parameters $a_1, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_s$ ($b_j \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}; j = 1, \dots, s$), we define the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_qF_s(a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_s; z)$ by [12] the following infinite series:

$${}_qF_s(a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_s; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_q)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_s)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!} \quad (1.6)$$

$$(q \leq s + 1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $(\alpha)_k$ is Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(\alpha)_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } k = 0 \\ \alpha(\alpha + 1) \dots (\alpha + k - 1) & \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

Dziok and Srivastava [4] considered a linear operator $H(a_1, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_s) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined by the following Hadamard product:

$$H(a_1, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_s)f(z) = h(a_1, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_s; z) * f(z) \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$h(a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_s; z) = z {}_qF_s(a_1, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_s; z)$$

$$(q \leq s + 1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is given by (1.1), then we have

$$H(a_1, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_s)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_k[a_1; b_1] a_k z^k, \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$\Gamma_k[a_1; b_1] = \frac{(a_1)_{k-1} \dots (a_q)_{k-1}}{(b_1)_{k-1} \dots (b_s)_{k-1} (k-1)!} \quad (1.9)$$

The Dziok-Srivastava linear operator $H_{q,s}[a_1; b_1]$ includes various other operators, which were considered in earlier works. We can quote here for example linear operators introduced by Carlson and Shaffer, Bernardi, Libera and Livingston, Choi, Saigo and Srivastava, Kim and Srivastava, Srivastava and Owa, Cho, Kwon and Srivastava, Ruscheweyh, Hohlov, Salagean, Noor, and others (see for details [8], [9] and []).

In recent years, many interesting subclasses of analytic functions associated with the Dziok-Srivastava operator $H_{q,s}[a_1; b_1]$ and its many special cases were investigated by, for example, Murugusundaramoorthy and Magesh [7], Srivastava et al. ([13],[14]), Wang et al. [15] and others.

In this paper, we investigate convolution properties of the classes $\mathcal{S}^*[a_1; \lambda, \phi]$ and $\mathcal{K}[a_1; \lambda, \phi]$ associated with the operator $H_{q,s}[a_1; b_1]$. Using convolution properties, we find the necessary and sufficient condition and coefficient estimate for these classes.

2. Convolution properties

We assume that $0 < \theta < 2\pi$, $-1 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ throughout this section and $\Gamma_k[a_1; b_1]$ is defined by (1.9)

Theorem 1. *The function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{S}^*[\lambda, \phi]$ if and only if.*

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[f(z) * \frac{z - \frac{(\lambda-1)\phi(e^{i\theta})}{1-\phi(e^{i\theta})} z^2}{(1-z)^2} \right] \neq 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 < \theta < 2\pi) \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. A function $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}^*[\lambda, \phi]$ if and only if

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{[(1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda zf'(z)]} \neq \phi(e^{i\theta}) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 < \theta < 2\pi) \quad (2.2)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} zf'(z) &\neq \phi(e^{i\theta}) [(1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda zf'(z)], \\ \frac{1}{z} [zf'(z) [1 - \lambda\phi(e^{i\theta})] - (1-\lambda)\phi(e^{i\theta})f(z)] &\neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Since

$$f(z) = f(z) * \frac{1}{(1-z)} \quad \text{and} \quad zf'(z) = f(z) * \frac{1}{(1-z)^2},$$

The equation (2.3) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{z} \left[f(z) * \left((1-\lambda\phi(e^{i\theta})) \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} - (1-\lambda)\phi(e^{i\theta}) \frac{z}{1-z} \right) \right] \\ = \frac{1-\phi(e^{i\theta})}{z} \left[f(z) * \frac{z - ((\lambda-1)\phi(e^{i\theta})/(1-\phi(e^{i\theta})))z^2}{(1-z)^2} \right] \neq 0, \quad (0 < \theta < 2\pi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

this completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *The function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{K}[\lambda, \phi]$ if and only if.*

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[f(z) * \frac{z - ((1 + (1 - 2\lambda)\phi(e^{i\theta}))/(\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1))z^2}{(1 - z)^3} \right] \neq 0, (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. Let us take

$$g(z) = \frac{z - ((\lambda - 1)\phi(e^{i\theta}))/((1 - \phi(e^{i\theta})))z^2}{(1 - z)^2}, \quad (2.6)$$

from which we get

$$zg'(z) = \frac{z - ((1 + (1 - 2\lambda)\phi(e^{i\theta}))/(\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1))z^2}{(1 - z)^3} \quad (0 < \theta < 2\pi). \quad (2.7)$$

Also from the identity $zf'(z) * g(z) = f(z) * zg'(z)$, ($f, g \in \mathcal{A}$) and the fact that

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{K}[\lambda, \phi] \iff zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*[\lambda, \phi].$$

the result (2.5) follows from Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. *A necessary and sufficient condition for the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{q,s}^*[a_1; \lambda, \phi]$ is that.*

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \lambda)\phi(e^{i\theta}) + k(\lambda\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1)}{\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1} \Gamma_k[a_1, b_1] a_k z^{k-1} \neq 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 < \theta < 2\pi) \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. From Theorem 1, we can say that $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{q,s}^*[a_1, \lambda, \phi]$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[H_{q,s}[a_1, b_1] f(z) * \frac{z - ((\lambda - 1)\phi(e^{i\theta}))/((1 - \phi(e^{i\theta})))z^2}{(1 - z)^2} \right] \neq 0, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 < \theta < 2\pi). \quad (2.9)$$

From (1.8), the left hand side of (2.9) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[H_{q,s}[a_1, b_1] f(z) * \left(\frac{z}{(1 - z^2)} - \frac{(1 - \lambda)\phi(e^{i\theta})}{\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1} \frac{z^2}{(1 - z)^2} \right) \right], \quad (0 < \theta < 2\pi). \quad (2.10)$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [z(H_{q,s}(a_1, b_1))f(z)' - \frac{(1 - \lambda)\phi(e^{i\theta})}{\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1} \{z(H_{q,s}(a_1, b_1))f(z)' - (H_{q,s}(a_1, b_1))f(z)\}]. \quad (2.11)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \lambda)\phi(e^{i\theta}) + k(\lambda\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1)}{\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1} \Gamma_k[a_1, b_1] a_k z^{k-1}, \quad (0 < \theta < 2\pi). \quad (2.12)$$

Thus the proof is completed.

Theorem 4. A necessary and sufficient condition for the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) to be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{q,s}[a_1; \lambda, \phi]$ is that

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \frac{(1-\lambda)\phi(e^{i\theta}) + k(\lambda\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1)}{\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1} \Gamma_k[a_1, b_1] a_k z^{k-1} \neq 0, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 < \theta < 2\pi) \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. From Theorem 1, we find that $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{q,s}[a_1; \lambda, \phi]$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[H_{q,s}[a_1, b_1] f(z) * \frac{z - ((1 + (1 - 2\lambda)\phi(e^{i\theta})) / (\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1) z^2)}{(1 - z)^3} \right] \neq 0, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.14)$$

Using the definition (1.8), the above equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{z} \left[H_{q,s}[a_1, b_1] f(z) * \left(\frac{z}{(1 - z)^3} - \frac{(1 + (1 - 2\lambda)\phi(e^{i\theta}))}{(\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1)} \frac{z}{(1 - z)^3} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \left[\frac{z}{2} (z H_{q,s}[a_1, b_1] f(z))'' - \frac{(1 + (1 - 2\lambda)\phi(e^{i\theta}))}{2(\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1)} z^2 (H_{q,s}[a_1, b_1] f(z))'' \right] \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \frac{(1-\lambda)\phi(e^{i\theta}) + k(\lambda\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1)}{\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1} \Gamma_k[a_1, b_1] a_k z^{k-1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

which proves the Theorem.

Theorem 5. If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{q,s}^*[a_1; \lambda, \phi]$ then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda) |\phi(e^{i\theta})| - |(\lambda\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1)| k \Gamma_k[a_1, b_1] |a_k| \leq |1 - \phi(e^{i\theta})| \quad (2.16)$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\lambda)\phi(e^{i\theta}) + k(\lambda\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1)}{1 - \phi(e^{i\theta})} \Gamma_k[a_1, b_1] a_k z^{k-1} \right| \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \\ & \geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(1-\lambda)\phi(e^{i\theta}) + k(\lambda\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1)}{1 - \phi(e^{i\theta})} \right| \Gamma_k[a_1, b_1] |a_k| \\ & \Rightarrow \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ((1 - \lambda) |\phi(e^{i\theta})| - |(\lambda\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1)| k) \Gamma_k[a_1, b_1] |a_k| \leq |1 - \phi(e^{i\theta})| \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6. If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) belongs to $\mathcal{K}_{q,s}[a_1; \lambda, \phi]$ then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ((1 - \lambda) |\phi(e^{i\theta})| - |(\lambda\phi(e^{i\theta}) - 1)| k) k \Gamma_k[a_1, b_1] |a_k| \leq |1 - \phi(e^{i\theta})| \quad (2.17)$$

Remark. Putting $\phi(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1+Ae^{i\theta}}{1+Be^{i\theta}}$ and $\lambda = 0$ in theorems 1 to 6, we get the results given recently by Aouf and Seoudy [2], Some of the results by Aouf and Seoudy also

contain the result due to Silverman ([10], [11]) and Ahuja [1].

Acknowledgments: The first author (S P G) is thankful to CSIR, New Delhi, India for awarding Emeritus Scientist under scheme No. 21(084)/10/EMR-II. The authors are also thankful to the anonymous reviewer for his/her useful comments.

References

- [1] Ahuja, O.P, Families of analytic functions related to Ruscheweyh derivatives and subordinate to convex functions, *Yokohama Math. J.* **41** (1993), 39-50.
- [2] Aouf, M.K and Seoudy, T.M., Calsses of analytic functions related to the Dziok-Srivastav operator, *Integral Transform and Special Function* **1** (2010), 1-8.
- [3] Carlson, B.C. and Shaffer, D.B., Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **15** (1984), 737-745.
- [4] Dziok, J. and Srivastava, H.M., Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **14** (2003), 7-18.
- [5] Dziok, J., Inclusion relationships between classes of functions defined by subordination. *Ann. Polon. Math.* 100 (2011), no. 2, 193–202.
- [6] Hohlov, Yu.E., Operators and operations in the class of univalent functions, *Izv. Vysšh. Učebn. Zaved. Mat.* **10** (1978), 83-89 (in Russian).
- [7] Murugusundaramoorthy, G. and Magesh, N., Starlike and convex functions of complex order involving the Dziok-Srivastava operator, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **18(6)** (2007), 419-425.
- [8] Noor, K.I., On new classes of integral operator, *J. Nat. Geom.* **16(1-2)** (1999), 71-80.
- [9] Ruscheweyh, St., New criteria for univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **49** (1975), 109-115.
- [10] Silverman, H. and Silvia, E.M., Subclasses of starlike functions subordinate to convex functions, *Canad. J. Math.* **1** (1985), 48-61.
- [11] Silverman, H., Silvia, E.M. and Telage, D., Convolution conditions for convexity, starlikeness and spiral-likeness, *Math. Z* **162** (1978), 125-130.
- [12] Srivastava, H. M. and Karlsson, P.W., Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series, Ellis Horwood, Ltd., Chichester, Halsted Press, John-Wiley and Sons, Inc., NewYork, 1985.
- [13] Srivastava, H.M., Murugusundaramoorthy, G. and Sivasubramanian, S., Hypergeometric functions in the parabolic starlike and uniformly convex domains, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **18(7)** (2007), 511-520.

- [14] Srivastava, H.M., Yang, D.G. and Xu, N. E., Subordinations for multivalent analytic functions associated with the Dziok-Srivastava operator, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **20(8)** (2009), 581-606.
- [15] Wang, Z.G., Jiang, Y. P., and Srivastava, H.M., Some subclasses of multivalent analytic functions involving the Dziok-Srivastava operator, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **19(2)** (2008), 29-146.

DOI: 10.7862/rf.2013.6

S. P. Goyal

email: somprg@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics
University of Rajasthan, Jaipur-302055, India

Sanjay Kumar Bansal

email: bansalindian@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics
Bansal School of Engg. and Tech., Jaipur-303904, India

Pranay Goswami - corresponding author

email: pranaygoswami83@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics School of Liberal Studies, Bharat Ratna Dr B.R. Ambedkar University, Delhi-110006, India

Zhi-Gang Wang

email: zhigangwang@foxmail.com

School of Mathematics and Computing Science,
Changsha University of Science and Technology, Changsha 410076, Hunan, Peoples Republic of China

Received 22.06.2012, Revised 31.10.2013, Accepted 25.10.2013